IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLES DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

HAZEL M. ROBY, as Administratrix of the Estate of RONALD TYRONE ROBY, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CIVIL ACTION NO: 2:05CV194-T

BENTON EXPRESS, INC., et al.

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL

COMES NOW the Plaintiff in the above styled matter, by and through the undersigned counsel of record and hereby requests that this Court enter an Order compelling Defendant, Benton Express, Inc. ("Benton") to produce any and all documents relating to the worker's compensation benefits paid to the widow of Craig Anthony Stephens and, as grounds in support of said motion, would show unto the Court as follows:

- 1. On or about June 13, 2005, Plaintiff propounded the following requests for production to Defendant Benton:
 - 9. Produce copies of any and all reports or documentation concerning this incident in this defendant's possession or that has been submitted to any insurance provider, worker's compensation provider, or any other entity concerning Mr. Craig Stephens' accident.
 - 10. Produce any documentation relating to any worker's compensation insurance provided by Benton Express.

Defendant objected to request number 9 on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, and ambiguous so as to preclude a meaningful response, and seeks information that was prepared in anticipation of litigation, and is protected by the work-product privilege, the attorney-client privilege, and the self-critical analysis privilege.

Defendant objected to request number 10 on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, and ambiguous so as to preclude a meaningful response, not reasonably limited in time or scope. and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. (See attached Exhibit A).

- Counsel for Plaintiff wrote to counsel for Defendant on October 3, 2005, and 2. November 7, 2005, (see attached Exhibits B and C) requesting that counsel supplement his responses to these requests in light of Defendant's allegations that Craig Anthony Stephens was not acting in the line and scope of his employment.
- In light of Defendant's allegations concerning the employment status of Craig 3. Anthony Stephens at the time of the subject wreck, Plaintiff is entitled to receive any and all documentation relating to any worker's compensation benefits paid on behalf of Mr. Stephens and any and all correspondence concerning the denial of any worker's compensation benefits.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court enter an Order compelling Defendant to provide full and complete responses to Plaintiff's discovery relating to any worker's compensation benefits paid to the widow of Craig Anthony Stephens or the cessation of any worker's compensation benefits paid as a result of the subject wreck.

LABARRON N. BOONE (BOO029)

Attorney for Plaintiff,

OF COUNSEL:

BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C. 218 Commerce Street Montgomery, AL 36104 (334) 269-2343 (334) 954-7555 fax

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system that will send notification of such filing to the following on this the day of November 2005.

Gregory A. Brockwell Brett A. Ross Carr, Allison, Pugh, Howard, Oliver & Sisson, P.C. 100 Vestavia Parkway, Suite 200 Birmingham, Alabama 35216 (205) 822-2006 (205) 822-2057 Fax Attorney for Defendant Benton Express, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

HAZEL M. ROBY, as Administratrix of the Estate of RONALD TYRONE ROBY, Deceased,)))
Plaintiff,	
ν.) CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:05CV194-T
)
BENTON EXPRESS, INC., et al,)
)
Defendants.)

RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

COMES NOW the Defendant, Benton Express, Inc., and in response to Plaintiff's Second Request for Production of Documents, states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Defendant objects to Plaintiff's discovery on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence

Defendant objects to Plaintiff's discovery on the grounds that it is not reasonably limited in time or scope.

Defendant will produce information only in its own possession, custody, or control Defendant cannot produce information in the possession, custody, or control of other entities

Defendant objects to Plaintiff's discovery to the extent it calls for the divulgence of attorney-client communications, attorney-work product, or any other privileged material

Defendant objects to Plaintiff's discovery to the extent the instructions contained therein purport to impose duties different from those imposed by applicable law

Defendant objects to Plaintiff's discovery on the grounds that it fails to comport with the sequential numbering requirements of Local Rule 26.3 of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama Rather than refusing to respond to the improperly numbered discovery requests, Defendant has re-numbered them to comport with Local Rule 26 3.

All responses given are subject to these objections and any other objections made in the individually-numbered responses. Subject to the foregoing, Defendant responds in good faith to Plaintiff's Second Request for Production of Documents as follows:

PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

A copy of any and all documentation, telephone logs, reports, or any other 54 information documenting any and all communications with Craig Anthony Stephens for the two-week period prior to April 11, 2005

RESPONSE: Some records for Craig Stephens' Nextel phone/radio were produced in response to Plaintiff's First Request for Production. Defendant has requested additional records from Nextel for the phone/radio of Craig Stephens and will produce these documents upon receipt.

A copy of any and all documentation, communications, telephone logs, or reports evidencing this Defendant's attempts to communicate with Craig Anthony Stephens from the date Mr. Stephens picked up the load in Pensacola, Florida, until April 11, 2005.

RESPONSE: See response to Request for Production No. 54. In addition, see the note from Garlin McLellan to Craig Stephens and the phone/radio records that are produced. Defendant is unaware at this time of whether there are additional records of such attempts and reserves the right to supplement this response should such records become available.

A copy of any and all documentation, receipts, log books, or the like evidencing the driving activities of Craig Anthony Stephens from April 4, 2005, until April 11, 2005, for example, where he picked up a load, where he dropped off a load, any stops at any weighing stations, rest areas, restaurants, or the like, and the types of cargo contained in the loads picked up and/or dropped off by Mr. Stephens

RESPONSE: See the logs, manifests, and sign in/out sheets that have already been produced.

A copy of any and all documentation evidencing how this Defendant pays its 57 drivers such as Craig Anthony Stephens.

RESPONSE: Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, and ambiguous so as to preclude a meaningful response and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

A copy of any and all documentation or evidence or any contact, either by CB 58. radio, beeper or pager, digital telephone, cellular telephone, or any other instrument used by this Defendant to communicate with its drivers.

RESPONSE: Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, and ambiguous so as to preclude a meaningful response and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding said objections, see the phone/radio records that have already been produced.

A copy of any and all policy and procedures books, employment handbooks, or the like concerning this Defendant's policies relating to the use of trucks and how employees are to use the trucks, when trucks are to be picked up or returned by the employees.

RESPONSE: Such documents have already been produced as part of Defendant's initial disclosures.

Produce any documents or reports of Mr. Stephens being late, untimely, or any reprimands concerning Mr. Craig Stephens, including but not limited to written reports or notes concerning verbal reprimands.

RESPONSE: All personnel records regarding Craig Stephens were produced as part of Defendant's initial disclosures.

Produce any known contact information on Mr Stephens, including any cell phone numbers, CB radios, walkie talkies, etc

RESPONSE: At the time of his death, Craig Stephens' address was 1959 Stacey Road, Cantonment, Florida 32533. His home telephone number was 850-937-8911. Defendant believes that he had a personal cellular telephone through Cingular Wireless, but Defendant is presently uncertain of the number for the phone.

Produce copies of any and all reports or documentation concerning this incident in this defendant's possession or that has been submitted to any insurance provider, worker's

compensation provider, or any other entity concerning Mr. Craig Stephens' accident

RESPONSE: Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, and ambiguous so as to preclude any meaningful response and seeks information that was prepared in anticipation of litigation and is protected by the work-product privilege, the attorney-client privilege, and the self-critical analysis privilege.

Produce any documentation relating to any worker's compensation insurance provided by Benton Express.

RESPONSE: Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, and ambiguous so as to preclude a meaningful response, not reasonably limited in time or scope, and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

64. Please produce all documentation, gas receipts, bills of lading, maintenance records, weigh station tickets, satellite data regarding location, Qualicom data regarding truck locations and instructions to the driver and/or course electronic control module data in this Defendant's possession concerning the subject driver or any truck driven by Craig Anthony Stephens for a period six months prior to the subject wreck

RESPONSE: Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and not reasonably limited in time or scope and seeks information that is irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding said objections, Defendant is not in possession, custody, or control of satellite data, Qualicom [sic] data, or electronic control module data. For the remaining items requested, see the logs, manifests, etc., that have already been produced.

65 Produce a copy of the driver's complete employment and/or personnel file

RESPONSE: Such documents have already been produced as part of Defendant's initial disclosures.

66. Produce hiring applications or any documents gathered or obtained from Craig Anthony Stephens concerning his hiring.

RESPONSE: Such documents have already been produced as part of Defendant's initial disclosures.

Produce any type of medical evaluations, including records requests, driving tests, or any other information gathered by this Defendant prior to hiring Craig Anthony Stephens.

RESPONSE: Such documents have already been produced as part of Defendant's initial disclosures.

68 Produce the policy manual, employment manual, handbook and guidelines

RESPONSE: Such documents have already been produced as part of Defendant's initial disclosures.

Brett A Ross (ASB-6771-O76B)

Gregory A. Brockwell (ASB-9949-R49B)

Attorneys for Defendant Benton Express, Inc.

OF COUNSEL:

CARR, ALLISON, PUGH, HOWARD,
OLIVER & SISSON, P.C.
100 Vestavia Parkway, Suite 200
Birmingham, Alabama 35216
(205) 822-2006
(205) 822-4058 (Direct Facsimile)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a true and correct copy of the foregoing upon the following counsel of record by placing same in U S mail, addressed as follows and postage prepaid, on this the 262 day of August, 2005:

Jere L Beasley
LaBarron N Boone
Julia A Beasley
BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW,
METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P C
218 Commerce Street
P O Box 4160
Montgomery, AL 36103-4160

Of Coursel

Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.

JERE LOCKE BEASLEY
J GREG ALLEN
MICHAEL J CROW
THOMAS J METHVIN
J COLE PORTIS
W DANIEL MILES HI
R GRAHAM ESDALE JR
JULIA ANNE BEASLEY
RHON E JONES
LABARRON N BOONE
ANDY D BIRCHFIELD JR
RICHARD D MORRISON ***
C GIBSON VANCE
J P SAWYER *****
C LANCE GOULD

JOSEPH H AUGHTMAN
DANA G TAUNTON
J MARK ENGLEHART "
CLINTON C CARTER AND BENJAMIN E. BAKER, JR BENTALLE BOAVID B BYRNE HI
TED G MEADOWS TOWN TO THE BY THE B

Attorneys at Law
218 COMMERCE SIREET
POST OFFICE BOX 4160
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA
36103-4160
(334) 269-2313

TOLL FREE (800) 898-2034

TELECOPIER (334) 954-7555

BEASLEYALLEN COM

October 3, 2005

LARRY A. GOLSTON, JR. 679
D. MICHAEL ANDREWS
MELISSA A. PRICKETT
JOHN E. TOMLINSON
KIMBERLY R. WARD 54
NAVAN WARD, JR. 64
WESLEY CHADWICK COOK

OF COUNSEL: BENJAMIN L LOCKLAR P LEIGH O'DELL ALSO ADMITTED IN ABIZONA
ALSO ADMITTED IN ABKANSAS
ALSO ADMITTED IN FLORIDA
ALSO ADMITTED IN GEORGIA

ALSO ADMITTED IN GEORGIA
ALSO ADMITTED IN RESTUCKY
ALSO ADMITTED IN MINICISTA
ALSO ADMITTED IN MINISISSIPPI
ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW YORK
ALSO ADMITTED IN SOME

* ALSO ADMITTED IN DRIAMMA ALSO ADMITTED IN SOUTH CAROLI ALSO ADMITTED IN TENNESSEE ALSO ADMITTED IN TEXAS

ALSO ADMITTED IS WASHINGTON
ALSO ADMITTED IN WEST VINGIST

JAMES W. TRAEGER 1953-1987

RONALD AUSTIN CANTY

Gregory A Brockwell

Carr, Allison, Pugh, Howard,

Oliver & Sisson, P.C.

100 Vestavia Parkway, Suite 200

Birmingham, Alabama 35216

Re: Hazel Roby v. Benton Express, Inc.

Dear Greg:

I would ask that you supplement your client's responses to interrogatory numbers 49 and 50 as well as responses to requests for production numbers 62 and 63

It is Plaintiff's contention, in light of your allegations that Craig Anthony Stephens was not acting in the line and scope of his employment, that any and all documents, communications, and any other information relating, referring to, or regarding worker's compensation payments to Mr Stephens' widow are fully discoverable. If you will not agree to produce this information, please advise so I can seek the Court's intervention

- Tab

Sincerely,

BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C.

LABARRON N. BOONE

La Barron H. Boone

LNB/dri

Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles, P.C.

JERE LOCKE BEASLEY
J GREG ALLEN
MICHAEL J CROW
THOMAS J METHVIN
J COLE PORTIS
W DANIEL MILES. HI
R GRAHAM ESDALE. JR
JULIA ANNE BEASLEY
RHON E JONES
LABARRON N BOONE
ANDY D BIRCHFIELD JR
RICHARD D MORRISON FOR
C GIBSON VANCE
J P SAWYER 46-77
C LANCE GOULD

JOSEPH H AUGHTMAN
DANA G TAUNION
J MARK ENGLEHART
CLINTON C CARTER PARTIE
BENJAMIN E BARER JR PERSON
GERALD B TAYLOR JR PERSON
FRANK WOODSON
KENDALI C DUNSON
J PAUL SIZEMORE PERSON
SCARLETTE M TULEY
CHRISTOPHER E SANSPREE PERSONAN ASHLEY SHAUL

Attorneys at Law
218 COMMERCE STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 4160
MONTGOMERY. ALABAMA
36103-4160
(334) 269-2343

TOLI FREE (800) 898-2034

TELECOPIER (334) 954-7555

BEASLEYALLEN COM

November 7, 2005

LARRY A GOISTON, JR ****
D MICHAEL ANDREWS
MELISSA A PRICKETT
JOHN E TOMLINSON
KIMBERLY R. WARD **
NAVAN WARD, JR **
WESLEY CHADWICK COOK
WILLIAM H. ROBERTSON, V

OF COUNSEL:
BENJAMIN L LOCKLAR
P LEIGH O'DELL

ALSO ADMITTED IN AUXONA
ALSO ADMITTED IN AUXANAM
ALSO ADMITTED IN FLORIDA
ALSO ADMITTED IN RESTRICKY
ALSO ADMITTED IN RESTRICKY
ALSO ADMITTED IN MISSISSIFFI
ALSO

* ALSO ADMITTED IN OBLAHOMA

* ALSO ADMITTED IN OBLAHOMA

* ALSO ADMITTED IN SOUTH CAROL

* ALSO ADMITTED IN TENNESSEE

* ALSO ADMITTED IN TEXAS

ALSO ADMITTED IN WASHINGTON
ALSO ADMITTED IN WEST VERGING

JAMES W TRAEGER 1953-1987

RONALD AUSTIN EANTY

VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Gregory A. Brockwell

Carr, Allison, Pugh, Howard,

Oliver & Sisson, P.C.

100 Vestavia Parkway, Suite 200

Birmingham, Alabama 35216

Re: Hazel Roby v. Benton Express, Inc.

Dear Greg:

I wrote to you on October 3, 2005, requesting the information relating to the worker's comp benefits paid on behalf of Craig Anthony Stephens To date, I have not received a response to this letter. If I do not receive this information by Monday, November 14, 2005, I will have no alternative but to seek the Court's intervention. As stated in my previous letter, I feel that this information is not only discoverable but is completely relevant and material in light of your allegations that Craig Anthony Stephens was no acting in the line and scope of his employment.

I appreciate your prompt response to this letter and am hopeful that we can resolve this issue without seeking the Court's intervention.

Sincerely,

BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C.

LABARRON N. BOONE

La Barron A. Frome

LNB/dri